United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/711,351	09/13/2004	David W. Pratt	1053.31	5350
21901 · 7:	590 06/20/2005		EXAMINER	
SMITH & HOPEN PA			KRAMER, DEAN J	
15950 BAY VISTA DRIVE SUITE 220			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEARWATER, FL 33760			3652	

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/711,351	PRATT, DAVID W.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
·		Dean J. Kramer	3652			
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MO - If the period for its the period for	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, and by the Office later than three months after the mailing remadjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)☐ Respor	sive to communication(s) filed on	_•	-			
2a)☐ This ac	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since the	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed i	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of C	laims		•			
4a) Of the 5) Claim(s 6) Claim(s 7) Claim(s 8) Claim(s 8) Claim(s 8) The special The draw Applicant	cification is objected to by the Examiner wing(s) filed on is/are: a) accent any objection to the d	n from consideration. election requirement. pted or b) □ objected to by the End of the second of	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	ment drawing sheet(s) including the correction or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
Priority under 35	·		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
a) All to 1. Constant of the c	edgment is made of a claim for foreign point. Some * c) None of: ertified copies of the priority documents ertified copies of the priority documents opies of the certified copies of the priority pplication from the International Bureau attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) D Notice of Drafts		4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no clear antecedent basis for "said free-floating ball valve" (first recited in claim 1, line 8) or "said annular check valve" (claim 1, line 18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Foster (U.S. Patent No. 348,960).

Foster shows a bailer comprising a cylindrical trailing end (A), a tapered leading end (B), and a free-floating ball (D) having a diameter slightly greater than the valve seat formed at the bottom of leading end (B) such that about half of the ball extends downwardly therefrom as best shown in Figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/711,351

Art Unit: 3652

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1 and 2, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted Prior Art bailer valve housing shown in Figure 1 of the instant application in view of Foster.

Figure 1 of the instant application shows a Prior Art bailer valve assembly that substantially contains the structural limitations set forth in claims 1 and 2 except that its valve seat (22) is not flush with the leading edge of the leading end such that less than half of the ball (18) extends from the seat.

However, Foster, as was presented above in section 3, shows a valve seat that is flush with the leading edge of the leading end (B) of a bailer assembly, and a ball (D) has a diameter that appears to be only slightly larger than the valve seat opening so that about half of the ball extends through the opening.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the valve seat (22) of the Prior Art bailer valve housing shown in Figure 1 of the instant application flush with the leading edge of the leading end thereof and form the opening in the valve seat only slightly less than the diameter of the ball as taught by Foster so that no gaps would exist between the leading edge and the ball when in its lowermost position that might otherwise interfere with the fluid-tight seal therebetween.

Art Unit: 3652

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 6. applicant's disclosure. Plotts and McGivern both show ball valves moveably disposed in the tapered leading end of a housing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean J. Kramer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6926. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri. (7:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3652

djk 6/14/05